

JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Acting Assistant Attorney General  
BRETT A. SHUMATE  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
JOHN R. GRIFFITHS  
Director, Federal Programs Branch  
CARLOTTA P. WELLS  
Assistant Director, Federal Programs Branch  
MARSHA S. EDNEY  
Senior Trial Counsel  
KATE BAILEY  
CAROL FEDERIGHI  
Trial Attorneys  
United States Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
P.O. Box 883  
Washington, DC 20044  
Tel.: (202) 514-2331  
Email: Brett.A.Shumate@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WILBUR L. ROSS, JR., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:18-cv-01865-RS

**NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS'  
POSITION REGARDING SUPREME  
COURT PROCEEDINGS**

Dept: 3  
Judge: The Honorable Richard G. Seeborg  
Trial Date: January 7, 2019  
Action Filed: March 26, 2018

CITY OF SAN JOSE, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WILBUR L. ROSS, JR., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:18-cv-02279-RS

**NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS'  
POSITION REGARDING SUPREME  
COURT PROCEEDINGS**

Dept.: 3  
Judge: The Honorable Richard G. Seeborg  
Trial Date: January 7, 2019  
Action Filed: March 26, 2018

1  
2 At the closing argument on February 15, 2019, undersigned counsel stated that he had not  
3 yet had an opportunity to confer with the Office of the Solicitor General about the effect of the  
4 Supreme Court's Order granting certiorari before judgment in State of New York, et al. v.  
5 Department of Commerce, et al., No. 18-cv-2921 (S.D.N.Y.). After consulting with the Solicitor  
6 General, Defendants write to provide additional information that may inform how the Court  
7 wishes to proceed in this case in light of the Supreme Court's order granting certiorari before  
8 judgment in the New York case. In that case, the government's brief is now due in the Supreme  
9 Court on March 6; the respondents' brief is due April 1; and oral argument is scheduled for the  
10 second week of April. Because a ruling by the Supreme Court in the New York case will be  
11 controlling, if not dispositive, in this case, the government respectfully submits that this Court  
12 should consider deferring its ruling until proceedings in the Supreme Court are complete. If the  
13 Supreme Court affirms the New York judgment, then this case will be moot; and conversely, if the  
14 Supreme Court reverses, then that decision effectively will resolve this case given the scope of the  
15 government's arguments and the overlap with the claims here.

1 Dated: February 21, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

2 JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Assistant Attorney General

3 s/Brett A. Shumate  
4 BRETT A. SHUMATE  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

5 JOHN R. GRIFFITHS  
6 Director, Federal Programs Branch

7 CARLOTTA P. WELLS  
Assistant Branch Director

8 MARSHA S. EDNEY  
9 Senior Trial Counsel

10 KATE BAILEY  
11 CAROL FEDERIGHI  
Trial Attorneys  
12 United States Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
13 P.O. Box 883  
Washington, DC 20044  
14 Tel.: (202) 514-2331  
Email: Brett.A.Shumate@usdoj.gov

15 *Attorneys for Defendants*